1 2 3 4	TIMOTHY S. THIMESCH, Esq. (No. 148213) 158 Hilltop Crescent Walnut Creek, CA 94576-3452 Direct: (925) 588-0401 Facsimile: (888) 210-8868 tim@thimeschlaw.com			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff CRAIG YATES			
6	RICHARD L. BECKMAN, ESQ.			
7	SBN 148375 BECKMAN MARQUEZ & DOWLING LLP			
8	<u>rich@beckmanblairllp.com</u> Central Tower Building			
9	703 Market Street, Suite 1610 San Francisco, CA 94103			
10	Tel: (415) 495-8500			
11	Fax: (415) 495-8590			
12	Attorneys for Defendants VILLAGE PIZZERIA; VILLAGE PIZZERIA, INC.; and MACIEL CICERO			
13				
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
16	CRAIG YATES, CASE NO. CV-10-05404 MEJ Civil Rights			
17	Plaintiff,			
18	v. STIPULATION AND <del>[Proposed]</del> ORDER RE BLUEPRINTS			
19	YEE MEI CHEUNG & FAMILY; YEE MEI CHEUNG; TAT CHEUNG; MACIEL			
20	CICERO; LAGHAEI FARID; WAI BING CHEUNG; YOUNG NG YING; VILLAGE			
21	PIZZERIA; VILLAGE PIZZERIA, INC.; THIDWICK BOOKS; LEA GREY;			
22	PERIOD GEORGE; GIBSON LINES, LLC; DONALD GIBSON; AND DOES 1			
23	THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE,			
24 25	Defendants/			
25 26	TO THE COURT:			
27	WHEREAS the construction history of the building and public			
28	gidewalk at Nog 1 - 11 Clement Street San Francisco			

carriornia, (herearter subje

California, (hereafter "Subject Building") is at issue in this

case;

WHEREAS, the Custodians of Records Of The City and County of San Francisco Department of Building Inspection and of the Planning Department (AKA The "City of San Francisco Building and Planning Departments") are in possession of certain blueprints, schematics and drawings related to the design and construction of the subject building that are potentially relevant to the construction history and liability issues in this case, or will lead to the discovery of admissible evidence on such issues,

WHEREAS the Parties desire to obtain certified copies from the Building and Planning Department of these documents for discovery and evidentiary purposes,

WHEREAS Plaintiff has served a subpoena on the Building Department and Planning Departments at **Exhibits 1 and 2**,

WHEREAS building departments in California typically assert that blueprints, schematics and drawings are allegedly "privileged" material, and that such departments are constrained by Health & Safety Code Section 19851 from producing copies of such documents, even when served with a federal subpoena, i.e., unless, inter alia, they are ordered by the Court to do so (see example from the City of Los Angeles Building Department at Exhibit 3), 1/2

California Health and Safety Code Section 19851 provides that the official copy of building plans maintained by the building department of a city "may not be duplicated in whole or in part except (1) with the written permission, which permission shall not be unreasonably withheld as

## Case3:10-cv-05404-MEJ Document42 Filed04/10/12 Page3 of 22

WHEREFORE, ALL PARTIES WHO HAVE SO FAR APPEARED IN THIS ACTION HEREBY REQUEST that the Court order the Building and Planning Departments to produce all blueprints, schematics and drawings and any other allegedly privileged material requested by ////

specified in subdivision (f), of the certified, licensed or registered professional or his or her successor, if any, who signed the original documents and the written permission of the original or current owner of the building, or, if the building is part of a common interest development, with the written permission of the board of directors or governing body of the association established to manage the common interest development, or (2) by order of a proper court or upon the request of any state agency." (Emphasis added.)

# Case3:10-cv-05404-MEJ Document42 Filed04/10/12 Page4 of 22

1	Plaintiff in the Subpoenas at	Exhibits 1 and 2.
2	SO STIPULATED.	
3		
4	II = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	TIMOTHY S. THIMESCH THIMESCH LAW OFFICE
5		
6		Tim Thimesels
7		Attorneys for Plaintiff CRAIG YATES
8		
9 10		RICHARD L. BECKMAN, ESQ. BECKMAN MARQUEZ & DOWLING LLP
		/s/ Authorized Signed
11		Attorneys for Defendants VILLAGE PIZZERIA; VILLAGE PIZZERIA,
12		INC.; and MACIEL CICERO
13		
14		
15		
16		ORDER
17	SO ORDERED.	
18		
19		
20	·	
21	April 10, 2012	
22	Dated:	HON. MAFIE ZENA JAMES
23		MAGISTRATY Judge U.S. District Court
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 s		

# EXHIBIT 1

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of California

Craig Yates	)
Plaintiff	- <i>)</i>
v.	Civil Action No. CV-10-05404 MEJ
Yee Mei Cheung & Family, et al.	) (If the action is pending in another district, state where:
Defendant	)
	UMENTS, INFORMATION, OR OBJECTS N OF PREMISES IN A CIVIL ACTION
To: San Francisco Department of Building Inspection	, 1660 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94103
	roduce at the time, date, and place set forth below the following s, and permit their inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the ibit 1
Place: San Francisco Department of Building Inspect Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94103	ion, 1660 Date and Time: 05/03/2012 10:00 am
	ne, date, and location set forth below, so that the requesting party ple the property or any designated object or operation on it.  Date and Time:
	g to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena, and Rule
45 (d) and (e), relating to your duty to respond to this s attached.	ubpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so, are
Date:03/31/2012	
CLERK OF COURT	OR / himela
Signature of Clerk or Depu	ty Clerk Attorney is signature
The name, address, e-mail, and telephone number of the	e attorney representing (name of party) Plaintiff Craig Yates  , who issues or requests this subpoena, are:
TIMOTHY S. THIMESCH, ESQ. (NO. 148213); THIME 04597-3452; 925-588-0401	SCH LAW OFFICES, 158 Hilltop Crescent, Walnut Creek, CA

## Case3:10-cv-05404-MEJ Document42 Filed04/10/12 Page7 of 22

AO 88B (Rev. 06/09) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. CV-10-05404 MEJ

## **PROOF OF SERVICE**

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

This subpoena for	(name of individual and title, if any)		
s received by me on (da	tte)		
☐ I served the su	bpoena by delivering a copy to the nar	med person as follows:	
		on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the s	subpoena unexecuted because:		
	ena was issued on behalf of the United tness fees for one day's attendance, ar		
fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under pe	enalty of perjury that this information i	s true.	
e:	_	Server's signature	
		Printed name and title	
		Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Add Attachment Reset

## Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), and (e) (Effective 12/1/07)

### (c) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The issuing court must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction — which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees — on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

#### (2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- **(B)** Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the issuing court for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

## (3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- **(A)** When Required. On timely motion, the issuing court must quash or modify a subpoena that:
  - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to travel more than 100 miles from where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person except that, subject to Rule 45(c)(3)(B)(iii), the person may be commanded to attend a trial by traveling from any such place within the state where the trial is held;
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
  - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- **(B)** When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the issuing court may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information;
- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party; or
- (iii) a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

### (d) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) *Documents*. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- **(B)** Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- **(C)** Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- **(D)** Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

### (2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- (A) *Information Withheld*. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
  - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- (B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.
- **(e) Contempt.** The issuing court may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena. A nonparty's failure to obey must be excused if the subpoena purports to require the nonparty to attend or produce at a place outside the limits of Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(ii).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

## "Exhibit 1" TO SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE:

YOU and YOUR as used herein refer to CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS,
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING
INSEPCTION (AKA THE "CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO DEPARTMENT OF
BUILDING AND SAFETY").

PLEASE PRODUCE ANY AND ALL DOCUMENTS WHATSOEVER IN YOUR POSSESSION, CUSTODY, CARE AND/OR CONTROL THAT RELATE TO the building located at or near Nos. 1 - 11 Clement Street, San Francisco, California, Block 1433, Lot 037, and Assessor Volume This includes the building and the surrounding premises limited to, (including, but not the sidewalk, walkway, entrances, hallways, tenant spaces, public restrooms, etc., at such location, and/or any part thereof). The subpoena also includes any and all documents related to YOUR communication with the owners, operators, managers, and lessors, of such property, and its agents, employees and attorneys, including, but not limited to, the following:

- a) Building, Planning, Zoning and Permits, Applications, and Inspection Records;
- b) Correspondence;
- c) Memorandums;
- d) Notes;
- e) Your Reports Concerning the Subject Property;
- f) Your Billings, Invoices, and Receipts,
   Contracts, Etc.;
- g) Surveys;
- h) Historical Data;
- i) Photographs;



1	j)	Construction Invoices, Billings, Etc.
2	k)	Inventories, Interviews, Copies of Historical
3		Records and Articles;
4	1)	Blueprints;
5	m )	Written Findings Concerning Historical Fabric;
6	n)	Any and All Documents That Refer or Relate to
7		Communications With the City and County of San
8		Francisco Departments in charge of building and
9		planning functions;
10	0)	Any and All Documents That Refer or Relate to
11		Communications With the State Department of
12		Rehabilitation Mobility and Communications
13		Barriers Section and the Office of the State
14		Architect;
15	p)	Any and All Documents That Refer or Relate to
16		Communications with the City and County of San
17		Francisco Board of Supervisors;
18	q)	Any and All Documents That Refer or Relate to
19		Communications with the City and County of San
20		Francisco City Council;
21	r)	Any and All Documents That Refer or Relate to
22		Exceptions Granted or Sought for 'Unreasonable
23		Hardship' From Title 24 Requirements;
24	s)	Any and All Documents That Refer or Relate to
25		Consultation With Local Disabled Advocacy
26	////	
27		
28		

# 

1			Gı	coups;	
2					
3	Dated:	March	31	2012	Thimesch Law Offices
4	Dateu	March	J	2012	THE MESCH LAW OFFICES
5					Tim Thimesels
6					Attorneys for Plaintiff
7					CRAIG YATES
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					

27

<sup>&</sup>quot;Exhibit 1" to Deposition Subpoena: Case No. CV-10-05404 MEJ

# EXHIBIT 2

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of California

	Northern	District of (	amoima	
Yee Mei Cheui	g Yates  wintiff v.  ng & Family, et al.  endant	)		CV-10-05404 MEJ  ng in another district, state where:
	ENA TO PRODUCE DOC TO PERMIT INSPECTIO			10.
To: San Francisco Planr Telephone 415-558-	ning Department, 1650 Missio 6378	on Street, S	uite 400, San Frar	ncisco, CA 94103;
documents, electronically	ARE COMMANDED to postored information, or object of the Records Listed in Exh	s, and perm	e time, date, and p it their inspection,	place set forth below the following copying, testing, or sampling of the
Place: San Francisco De Mission Street, Sa	partment of Building Inspect an Francisco, CA 94103	ion, 1660	Date and Time:	5/03/2012 10:00 am
other property possessed of	r controlled by you at the tin	ne, date, an	d location set forth	ne designated premises, land, or a below, so that the requesting party nated object or operation on it.
				on subject to a subpoena, and Rule insequences of not doing so, are
Date: 03/31/2012	CLERK OF COURT  Signature of Clerk or Depa	ıty Clerk	OR	Attorney s signature
The name, address, e-mail	, and telephone number of th	e attorney 1		of party) Plaintiff Craig Yates es or requests this subpoena, are:
TIMOTHY S. THIMESCH 04597-3452; 925-588-040		ESCH LAW		Itop Crescent, Walnut Creek, CA

## Case3:10-cv-05404-MEJ Document42 Filed04/10/12 Page14 of 22

AO 88B (Rev. 06/09) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. CV-10-05404 MEJ

## PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

This subpoena for	(name of individual and title, if any)		
is received by me on (do	ite)		
☐ I served the su	bpoena by delivering a copy to the nar	med person as follows:	
94		on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the	subpoena unexecuted because:		-
tendered to the wi		States, or one of its officers or agents, and the mileage allowed by law, in the a	
fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
I declare under pe	enalty of perjury that this information i	s true.	
e:	_	Server's signature	
	-	Printed name and title	
		Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Add Attachment Reset

## Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), and (e) (Effective 12/1/07)

### (c) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The issuing court must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction — which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees — on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

### (2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- **(B)** Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the issuing court for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

## (3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the issuing court must quash or modify a subpoena that:
  - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to travel more than 100 miles from where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person except that, subject to Rule 45(c)(3)(B)(iii), the person may be commanded to attend a trial by traveling from any such place within the state where the trial is held;
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
  - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- **(B)** When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the issuing court may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:
- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information;
- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party; or
- (iii) a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

#### (d) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.

  These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- **(A)** *Documents.* A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- **(B)** Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- **(D)** Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

## (2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- (A) *Information Withheld*. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
  - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- (B) Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.
- (e) Contempt. The issuing court may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena. A nonparty's failure to obey must be excused if the subpoena purports to require the nonparty to attend or produce at a place outside the limits of Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(ii).

## "Exhibit 1" TO SUBPOENA IN A CIVIL CASE:

YOU and YOUR as used herein refer to CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS,
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO PLANNING DEPARTMENT.

PLEASE PRODUCE ANY AND ALL DOCUMENTS WHATSOEVER IN YOUR POSSESSION, CUSTODY, CARE AND/OR CONTROL THAT RELATE TO the building located at or near Nos. 1 - 11 Clement Street, San Francisco, California, Block 1433, Lot 037, and Assessor Volume #10. This includes the building and the surrounding premises (including, but not limited to, the sidewalk, walkway, entrances, hallways, tenant spaces, public restrooms, etc., at such location, and/or any part thereof). The subpoena also includes any and all documents related to YOUR communication with the owners, operators, managers, and lessors, of such property, and its agents, employees and attorneys, including, but not limited to, the following:

- a) Building, Planning, Zoning and Permits, Applications, and Inspection Records;
- b) Correspondence;
- c) Memorandums;
- d) Notes;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- e) Your Reports Concerning the Subject Property;
- f) Your Billings, Invoices, and Receipts,
  Contracts, Etc.;
- q) Surveys;
- h) Historical Data;
- i) Photographs;
- j) Construction Invoices, Billings, Etc.
- k) Inventories, Interviews, Copies of Historical

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT

"Exhibit 1" to Deposition Subpoena: Case No. CV-10-05404 MEJ

# 

1		Records and Articles;
2	1)	Blueprints;
3	m)	Written Findings Concerning Historical Fabric;
4	n)	Any and All Documents That Refer or Relate to
5		Communications With the City and County of San
6		Francisco Departments in charge of building and
7		planning functions;
8	0)	Any and All Documents That Refer or Relate to
9		Communications With the State Department of
LO		Rehabilitation Mobility and Communications
L1		Barriers Section and the Office of the State
L2		Architect;
L3	p)	Any and All Documents That Refer or Relate to
L 4		Communications with the City and County of San
L5		Francisco Board of Supervisors;
L6	d)	Any and All Documents That Refer or Relate to
L7		Communications with the City and County of San
L8		Francisco City Council;
L 9	r)	Any and All Documents That Refer or Relate to
20		Exceptions Granted or Sought for 'Unreasonable
21		Hardship' From Title 24 Requirements;
22	s)	Any and All Documents That Refer or Relate to
23		Consultation With Local Disabled Advocacy
24	1111	
25		
26		
27		

# Case3:10-cv-05404-MEJ Document42 Filed04/10/12 Page18 of 22

# EXHIBIT 3

1 2	CARMEN A. TRUTANICH, City Attorney (SBN 86629) TAYO A. POPOOLA, Deputy City Attorney (SBN 134564) ALAN W. BLACKMAN, Deputy City Attorney (SBN 216143) MICHAEL J. BOSTROM, Deputy City Attorney (SBN 211778)						
3	700 City Hall East						
4	200 North Main Street						
5	Los Angeles, CA 90012 Telephone: 213.978.8068 Facsimile: 213.978.8214						
6	E-Mail: Michael.Bostrom@lacity.org						
7 8	Attorneys for Third Party CITY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND SAFETY						
9							
10		DISTRICT COURT					
11	CENTRAL DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA					
12							
13	HUGH MARSH, et al.	Case No. CV-10511-PA (AGRx)					
14	Plaintiffs,	Honorable Percy Anderson					
15	V.	THIRD PARTY CITY OF LOS					
16	GRAND KYOTO HOTEL, et al.	ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND SAFETY'S					
17	Defendant.	OBJECTIONS TO SUBPOENA FOR					
18		PRODUCTION OF RECORDS					
19		<u>.</u>					
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28		1					

Third Party City of Los Angeles Department of Building and Safety ("LADBS") hereby objects to Plaintiff Hugh Marsh's July 4, 2010 document subpoena requesting "blueprints" related to the hotel property located at 120 South Los Angeles Street.

California Health and Safety Code Section 19851 provides that the official copy of building plans maintained by the building department of a city "may not be duplicated in whole or in part except (1) with the written permission, which permission shall not be unreasonably withheld as specified in subdivision (f), of the certified, licensed or registered professional or his or her successor, if any, who signed the original documents and the written permission of the original or current owner of the building, or, if the building is part of a common interest development, with the written permission of the board of directors or governing body of the association established to manage the common interest development, or (2) by order of a proper court or upon the request of any state agency."

Plaintiff has not provided LADBS with authorization from the building owner or the design professional who signed the blueprints at issue in the subpoena. Nor has Plaintiff provided LADBS with a Court Order pursuant to California Health and Safety Code Section 19851. As such, state law prohibits LADBS from providing a copy of the requested blueprints in response to the subpoena. LADBS may only make the blueprints available for inspection.

LADBS takes no position on whether or not the Court in this action should issue an order under California Health and Safety Code Section 19851 authorizing the release of the requested blueprints.

25 | //

//

26 | //

27 | //

28 | //

## Case3:10-cv-05404-MEJ Document42 Filed04/10/12 Page22 of 22

Should the Court issues such an Order, LADBS will produce the requested blueprints within a reasonable period of time. CARMEN A. TRUTANICH, City Attorney TAYO A. POPOOLA, Deputy City Attorney MICHAEL J. BOSTROM, Deputy City Attorney ALAN W. BLACKMAN, Deputy City Attorney Dated: July 8, 2010 **LEL J. BOSTROM** Deputy City Attorney Attorneys for Third Party
CITY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF **BUILDING AND SAFETY** M:\REAL PROP\_ENV\_LAND USE\LAND USE\MICHAEL BOSTROM\MARSH\PLEADINGS\OBJECTIONS TO SUBPOENA.DOC